## THE REAL CHEVALLEY INVOLUTION AMERICAN UNIVERSITY JUNE 20, 2012

Jeffrey Adams

www.liegroups.org/talks arXiv 1203:1901

## THE CHEVALLEY INVOLUTION

G: connected, reductive,  $H: \operatorname{Cartan}$  subgroup

#### Theorem

(1) There is an involution C of G satisfying:  $C(h) = h^{-1}$   $(h \in H)$ ;

(2)  $C(g) \sim g^{-1}$  for all semisimple elements g;

(3) Any two such involutions are conjugate by an inner automorphism;

#### C is the Chevalley involution of G

Example:  $G = GL(n), SL(n) :, C(g) = {}^tg^{-1}$  (outer)

Example: C is inner  $\Leftrightarrow -1 \in W$ 

C is the Cartan involution of the split real form of  $G(\mathbb{C})$ .

$$(\pi, V)$$

$$V^* = \operatorname{Hom}(V, \mathbb{C})$$

$$\pi^*(g)(f)(v) = f(g^{-1}v)$$
Character:  $\theta_{\pi^*}(g) = \theta_{\pi}(g^{-1})$ 

## On the Dual Side

G defined over F (local)

 $\phi: W'_F \to {}^L\!G \twoheadrightarrow \Pi(\phi) \text{ (L-packet)}$ 

What is the effect of  $\phi \to C \circ \phi$ ?

 $\pi^*, \Pi(\phi)^*$ : contragredient

<u>Theo</u>rem (A/VOGAN)

 $F = \mathbb{R}$ :

$$\Pi(C\circ\phi)=\Pi(\phi)^*$$

(Mumbai 2012, arXiv 1201.0496)

(Conjecturally true over arbitrary F).

#### COROLLARY

Every L-packet is self-dual if and only if  $-1 \in W(G, H)$ 

 $(W(G,H) = W(G(\mathbb{C}),H(\mathbb{C})))$ 

What is the effect of the Chevalley automorphism on the group side?

#### QUESTION

(1) Is C defined over F?

(2) Does it satisfy 
$$\pi^C \simeq \pi^*$$
?

Character:

(2') 
$$C(g) \sim_{G(F)} g^{-1}$$
 for all  $g \in G(F)$ ?  
Note: (1)  $\Rightarrow C(g) \sim_{G(\overline{F})} g^{-1}$ 

- General question: automorphisms of G, (e.g. outer involutions), effect on representations, also on the dual side
- Character theory, relation with automorphisms
- Frobenius-Schur (symplectic/orthogonal) indicator
- Applications to L-functions (contragredient)
- recent paper of D. Prasad and Ramakrishnan
- Hermitian dual, (closely related to an automorphism on the space of representations), applications to unitarity









### EXAMPLE (D. PRASAD)

 $G = F_4, G_2, E_8, F$  p-adic, G(F) split

There are Chevalley involutions C of G, defined over F

None of them satisfy:  $C(g) \sim_{G(F)} g^{-1}$ (only  $C(g) \sim_{G(\overline{F})} g^{-1}$ )

(since every automorphism of G(F) is inner, and G(F) has non-self dual representations)

### EXAMPLE

 $G = SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ 

$$\tau(g) = xgx^{-1} \quad \left(x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right)$$

 $\tau(g) \sim g^{-1} \ (g \in \text{split Cartan subgroup})$ But  $\tau(g) \neq g^{-1} \quad (g \in \text{compact Cartan})$ Better:

$$\tau(g) = ygy^{-1} \quad (y = \begin{pmatrix} i \\ & -i \end{pmatrix})$$

Then:

 $C(g) = ygy^{-1}, C(g) \sim g^{-1}$  for all g

Moral: Focus on the fundamental (most compact) Cartan subgroup

G defined over  $\mathbb{R},\,\theta$  = Cartan involution

*H* is fundamental if the split rank of  $H_f(\mathbb{R})$  is minimal

Example:  $H_f(\mathbb{R})$  is compact

#### DEFINITION

A Chevalley involution is fundamental if  $C(g) = g^{-1}$  for all g in some fundamental Cartan subgroup of G.

#### Theorem

- (1) There is a fundamental Chevalley involution C of G;
- (2) C is defined over  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $C: G(\mathbb{R}) \to G(\mathbb{R})$ ;
- (3)  $C(g) \sim_{G(\mathbb{R})} g^{-1}$  ( $g \in G(\mathbb{R})$  semisimple)

(4) Any two fundamental Chevalley involutions are conjugate by an inner automorphism of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ .

Existence of C:

Pinning:  $\mathcal{P} = (B, H, \{X_{\alpha}\})$ 

Line everything up with respect to  $\mathcal{P}$ 

 $C(X_{\alpha}) = X_{-\alpha}, \quad \sigma_c(X_{\alpha}) = -X_{-\alpha} \ (G^{\sigma_c} \ \text{compact})$ 

 $\delta$ : distinguished automorphism (preserving  $\mathcal{P}$ ),  $x \in H^{\delta}$ 

 $\theta(X_{\alpha}) = \alpha(x)X_{\delta(\alpha)}$  $\sigma = \theta\sigma_{c}, \quad G(\mathbb{R}) = G^{\sigma}$ 

$$\theta \sigma = \sigma \theta$$

### PROPOSITION (LUSZTIG)

F algebraically closed  $\Rightarrow$ 

$$C(g) \sim_G g^{-1} \text{ for all } g$$

#### LEMMA

 $C = fundamental \ Chevalley \ involution$ 

$$C(g) \sim_{G(\mathbb{R})} g^{-1}$$
 for all g

(Essentially the same proof as Lusztig; thanks to Binyong Sun)

Since  $C(g) \sim_{G(\mathbb{R})} g^{-1}$  (g semisimple):

#### COROLLARY

$$\pi \ irreducible \ \Rightarrow \pi^C \simeq \pi^*$$

#### COROLLARY

Every irreducible representation of  $G(\mathbb{R})$  is self-dual if and only if C is inner for  $G(\mathbb{R})$ 

Necessary but not sufficient:  $-1 \in W(G, H)$ 

# $H_f(\mathbb{R})$ fundamental $W(G(\mathbb{R}), H_f(\mathbb{R})) = \operatorname{Norm}_{G(\mathbb{R})}(H_f(\mathbb{R}))/H_f(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow W(G, H_f)$

#### PROPOSITION

Every irreducible representation of  $G(\mathbb{R})$  is self-dual if and only if

 $-1 \in W(G(\mathbb{R}), H_f(\mathbb{R}))$ 

(easy consequence of the Theorem)

 $G, G(\mathbb{R}) = G\sigma, K = G^{\theta} (K \text{ is complex})$  $H_K = H \cap K \subset H: \text{ Cartan subgroup of } K$ Equal rank case:  $H_K = H$  $W(K, H) \simeq W(G(\mathbb{R}), H(\mathbb{R}))$ 

#### COROLLARY

Every irreducible representation of  $G(\mathbb{R})$  is self-dual if and only if

 $-1 \in W(K, H)$ 

Dangerous Bend In the unequal rank case

 $W(K,H) \simeq W(K,H_K)$ 

right hand side: Weyl group of a (disconnected) reductive group but -1 has different meaning on the two sides  $x \in Norm_K(H) = Norm_K(H_K)$ ,

$$xhx^{-1} = h^{-1}$$
  $(h \in H_K) \neq xhx^{-1} = h^{-1}$   $(h \in H)$ 

#### PROPOSITION

Every irreducible representation of  $G(\mathbb{R})$  is self-dual if and only if every irreducible representation of K is self-dual, and, in the unequal rank case,  $-1 \in W(G, H)$ 

(equal rank case:  $-1 \in W(K, H_K) \Rightarrow -1 \in W(G, H)$ )

#### PROPOSITION

 $G(\mathbb{R})$  is simple: every irreducible representation of  $G(\mathbb{R})$  is self-dual if and only if  $-1 \in W(G, H)$  and, in the equal rank case,  $G(\mathbb{R})$  is a pure real form.

pure:  $\theta = int(x), x^2 = 1$ 

 $(-1 \in W(G, H) \Rightarrow Z(G) =$ two-group  $\Rightarrow$  purity independent of the choice of x) "Purity Of Essence"

Key point:  $g \in Norm_G(H)$  representative of  $-1 \in W(G, H)$ :

$$-1 \in W(K,H) \Leftrightarrow xgx^{-1} = g \Leftrightarrow x^2g = g \Leftrightarrow x^2 = 1$$

#### COROLLARY

 $G \text{ adjoint, } -1 \in W(G, H) \Rightarrow$ 

every irreducible representation of  $G(\mathbb{R})$  is self-dual

- (1)  $A_n$ : SO(2,1), SU(2) and SO(3).
- (2)  $B_n$ : Every real form of the adjoint group, Spin(2p, 2q+1) (p even).
- (3)  $C_n$ : Every real form of the adjoint group, Sp(p,q).
- (4)  $D_{2n+1}$ : none.
- (5)  $D_{2n}$ , unequal rank: all real forms
- (6)  $D_{2n}$ , equal rank (various cases...)
- (7)  $E_6$ : none.
- (8)  $E_7$ : Every real form of the adjoint group, simply connected compact.
- (9)  $G_2, F_4, E_8$ : every real form.
- (10) complex groups of type  $A_1, B_n, C_n, D_{2n}, G_2, F_4, E_7, E_8$

## FROBENIUS-SCHUR INDICATOR

Suppose  $\pi \simeq \pi^*$  $T: \pi \simeq \pi^* \rightarrow \langle v, w \rangle = (Tv)(w)$ 

 $\langle,\rangle$  bilinear, symmetric or antisymmetric:

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \epsilon_{\pi} \langle w, v \rangle \quad (\epsilon_{\pi} = \pm 1)$$

 $\epsilon_{\pi}$  = Frobenius-Schur indicator

#### Problem

How do you compute  $\epsilon_{\pi}$ ?

(interesting invariant of self-dual representations)

# FROBENIUS-SCHUR INDICATOR: FINITE DIMENSIONAL REPRESENTATIONS

 $G(\mathbb{R}), \pi \simeq \pi^*$  finite dimensional,

 $\chi_{\pi}: {\rm central} \ {\rm character}$ 

$$z(\rho^{\vee}) = \exp(2\pi i \rho^{\vee}) \in Z(G)$$

(fixed by all automorphisms)

#### **PROPOSITION** (BOURBAKI)

$$\epsilon_{\pi} = \chi_{\pi}(z(\rho^{\vee}))$$

# FROBENIUS-SCHUR INDICATOR: FINITE DIMENSIONAL REPRESENTATIONS

Key ingredient of proof:

 $w_0 \in W = W(G, H)(\text{ long element})) \rightarrow g \in \text{Norm}_H(G) \pmod{w_0}$  $\rightarrow g^2 \in H$ 

#### Lemma

We can choose g so that

$$g^2 = z(\rho^{\vee}),$$

If  $-1 \in W$ ,  $g^2$  is independent of all choices.

(proof: uses the Tits group)

Remark: Same fact (dual side): discrete series are parametrized by  $X^*(H) + \rho$ 

# FROBENIUS-SCHUR INDICATOR: FINITE DIMENSIONAL REPRESENTATIONS

proof of Proposition:

$$\chi_{\pi}(g^{2})\langle v, \pi(g)v \rangle = \langle \pi(g^{2})v, \pi(g)v \rangle$$
$$= \langle \pi(g)v, v \rangle$$
$$= \epsilon(\pi)\langle v, \pi(g)v \rangle$$

i.e.

$$\chi_{\pi}(g^2)\langle v, \pi(g)v\rangle = \epsilon(\pi)\langle v, \pi(g)v\rangle$$

Take  $v \in V_{\lambda}$  (highest weight space),  $\pi(g)v \in V_{-\lambda}$ ,  $\langle v, \pi(g)v \rangle \neq 0$ (also see [Prasad, IMRN 1999]) Suppose every irreducible  $\pi$  (infinite dimensional) is self-dual  $\mu$ : lowest K-type, multiplicity one, self-dual (by previous lemma)

 $\epsilon_{\pi} = \epsilon_{\mu}$ 

Example: Assume K is connected

Take  $\pi$  finite dimensional

(1)  $\epsilon_{\pi} = \chi_{\pi}(z(\rho_G^{\vee}))$  (result applied to G) (2)  $\epsilon_{\pi} = \epsilon_{\mu} = \chi_{\mu}(z(\rho_K^{\vee}))$  (result applied to K)

How can this be?

## FROBENIUS-SCHUR INDICATOR

- $(K \text{ connected}, -1 \in W(K, H))$
- $\lambda$ =highest weight

$$\Rightarrow \lambda(z(\rho_G^{\vee})) = \lambda(z(\rho_K^{\vee})) \quad (\lambda \in X^*(H))$$

$$\Rightarrow z(\rho_G^{\vee}) = z(\rho_K^{\vee})$$

#### Surprise:

#### LEMMA

Assume  $-1 \in W(K, H)$ . Then

 $z(\rho_G^\vee) = z(\rho_K^\vee)$ 

$$-1 \in W(K, H) \Rightarrow z(\rho_G^{\vee}) = z(\rho_K^{\vee}):$$

Example: 
$$G = SL(2)/PGL(2)$$
  
 $G(\mathbb{R}) = SL(2,\mathbb{R})/PGL(2,\mathbb{R}) : z(\rho_G^{\vee}) = -I$   
 $K = SO(2)/O(2) : z(\rho_K^{\vee}) = I$   
 $SL(2,\mathbb{R}) : z(\rho_G^{\vee}) = -I \neq I = z(\rho_K^{\vee}) \ (-1 \notin W(K,H))$   
 $PGL(2,\mathbb{R}) : z(\rho_G^{\vee}) = -I = I = z(\rho_K^{\vee}) \ (-1 \in W(K,H))$ 

Reduce to  $K^0$  or  $\langle K^0, C \rangle$ .

#### LEMMA

 $K = \langle K^0, x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \text{ where:}$   $(1) x_i^2 = 1$   $(2) x_i \text{ preserves a Borel of } K^0$   $(3) x_i, x_j \text{ commute}$ 

Key point:  $\mu|_{K^0}$  has multiplicity one

#### COROLLARY

Every irreducible representation self-dual implies

$$\epsilon_{\pi} = \chi_{\pi}(z(\rho^{\vee}))$$

Proof of Lemma and corollary:

$$z(\rho_K^{\vee}) = z(\rho_G^{\vee}), \text{ minimal } K \text{-type } \mu \dots$$

Done if K is connected

delicate argument about the disconnectedness of K (previous slide...)

#### COROLLARY

 $-1 \in W(G, H)$ , G adjoint implies every irreducible representation of  $G(\mathbb{R})$  is self-dual and orthogonal.

#### PROBLEM

Consider the Frobenius-Schur indicator in general

(some of the same ideas apply)