

Representations with Non-Integral Infinitesimal Character Atlas of Lie Groups Workshop 2006

Annegret Paul

13 July 2006

1 Setup

Let (G, τ) and $({}^\vee G, {}^\vee \tau)$ be given. Here G is an algebraic group with dual group ${}^\vee G$, τ an outer automorphism determining an inner class of real forms, $\delta \in \text{Aut}(G)$ a strong real form in the inner class determined by τ , chosen as in [3], and ${}^\vee \tau, {}^\vee \delta$ the corresponding objects for ${}^\vee G$. (Recall: δ is the unique involution in the chosen inner class fixing the chosen pinning; e.g., if τ is trivial then δ is the identity automorphism.) Form the extended groups $G^\Gamma = G \rtimes \Gamma = G \cup G\delta$ and ${}^\vee G^\Gamma = {}^\vee G \rtimes \Gamma = {}^\vee G \cup {}^\vee G {}^\vee \delta$. Recall that a *strong involution* of G is an element $x \in G\delta$ such that $x^2 \in Z(G)$, and $\theta_x = \text{int}(x)$ is the Cartan involution of the corresponding real group $G(\mathbb{R})$.

2 Integral L-Data

Recall from [1] (see also [2]) the sets of Integral L -Data parametrizing irreducible representations with integral infinitesimal character. These are septuples as follows:

$$(\mathcal{S}; \lambda) = (x, H, B, y, {}^d H, {}^d B; \lambda) \tag{1}$$

where x is a strong involution of G , $H \subset B$ a Cartan and a Borel subgroup of G such that H is θ_x -stable; and $y, {}^\vee H$, and ${}^\vee B$ are corresponding objects on the dual side. The data determine an isomorphism

$$\zeta : {}^d H \rightarrow {}^\vee H \tag{2}$$

which also identifies ${}^d \mathfrak{h}$ with ${}^\vee \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}^*$ taking the positive root system ${}^d \Psi^+$ corresponding to ${}^d B$ to the set ${}^\vee \Psi^+$ of coroots of the system of positive roots Ψ^+ determined by B .

The parameter λ is then an element of ${}^d\mathfrak{h} \simeq {}^\vee\mathfrak{h}$ such that $\exp(2\pi i\lambda) = y^2$, and dominant regular with respect to Ψ^+ . The involutions θ_x and ${}^d\theta_y$ must be compatible in the sense that the corresponding involutions of \mathfrak{h} and ${}^\vee\mathfrak{h}$ must satisfy ${}^d\theta_y = -{}^t\theta_x$. We make all these identifications and replace the superscripts d by \vee everywhere. Conjugacy classes by $G \times {}^\vee G$ of sets of integral L -data correspond in a one-one fashion to irreducible admissible representations with integral regular infinitesimal character of strong real forms in the given inner class.

Since all pairs $H \subset B$ are conjugate by G (and similarly on the dual side), we may fix $H, B, {}^\vee H$, and ${}^\vee B$ and look at pairs (x, y) , up to conjugation by $H \times {}^\vee H$ instead (see [3] for details). These pairs parametrize translation families of representations; giving λ amounts to choosing a particular representation in the family, with infinitesimal character λ .

Which representation is it? The element x specifies a real form $G(\mathbb{R})$, along with a conjugacy class of Cartan subgroups $H(\mathbb{R})$. To get a representation of $G(\mathbb{R})$, we need a character Λ of (a double cover of) $H(\mathbb{R})$; the representation is then obtained by parabolic induction. We have $\lambda = d\Lambda$. If $H(\mathbb{R})$ is connected, this determines the character uniquely. Otherwise, the element y determines it on the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ factors. Details will be spelled out in the Dictionary (this is work in progress). The Atlas software produces the x 's and y 's using the 'kgb' command, and the compatible pairs (x, y) using the 'block' command.

Example 1 $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$. We have $G = SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, ${}^\vee G = SO(3, \mathbb{C})$, which we think of as the isometry group of the form on \mathbb{C}^3 given by $M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$, δ and ${}^\vee\delta$ trivial so that we can think of x and y as elements of G and ${}^\vee G$, rather than the extended groups. We choose the diagonal Cartan subgroups. Let $t = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}$, $w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, $s = \text{diag}(-1, -1, 1) \in SO(3, \mathbb{C})$. Then up to conjugacy, a complete list of the pairs (x, y) for x giving the split real form $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ are (t, M) , $(-t, M)$, (w, I) , and (w, s) . The elements t and $-t$ give the compact Cartan which is connected, so only one y (giving the split Cartan on the dual side) is matched with these parameters. For $x = w$ we get the split Cartan $\simeq \mathbb{R}^\times$ of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$, so there are two characters with the same differential, distinguished by the two matching choices I, s for y . If we fix λ as an integral multiple of ρ , the four representations of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ are the two discrete series, and the two principal series at infinitesimal character λ . If λ is an odd multiple of ρ , then (w, I) corresponds to the non-spherical principal series and (w, s) to the spherical one; if λ is even, these are switched.

3 Non-Integral L-Data

According to [1], general (not necessarily integral) L -data are septuples

$$(\mathcal{S}; \lambda) = (x, H, P, y, {}^\vee H, {}^\vee P; \lambda), \quad (3)$$

where $(x, H, {}^\vee H)$ is as in (1) (we assume we have chosen an isomorphism ζ as in (2) and made the appropriate identifications), $y \in {}^\vee G^\vee \delta$, $y^2 \in {}^\vee H$, ${}^\vee \theta_y$ normalizes ${}^\vee H$, θ_x and ${}^\vee \theta_y$ are compatible as above, ${}^\vee P$ is a positive set of roots for ${}^\vee G_{y^2} = \text{Cent}_{{}^\vee G}(y^2)$, P is the set of roots of G dual to ${}^\vee P$, $\lambda \in {}^\vee \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}^*$ is such that $\exp(2\pi i \lambda) = y^2$, and λ is dominant with respect to P . If λ is also regular and $\Psi = \Delta(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g})$ then $P = \{\alpha \in \Psi : \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\}$. As in the integral case, conjugacy classes by $G \times {}^\vee G$ of these sets of data parametrize irreducible representations of all strong real forms in the given inner class with regular (not necessarily integral) infinitesimal character.

As before, fix $H, B \leftrightarrow \Psi^+$, ${}^\vee H$, and ${}^\vee B \leftrightarrow {}^\vee \Psi^+$. Then given (x, y) , $P \subset \Psi^+$ and ${}^\vee P \subset {}^\vee \Psi^+$ are uniquely determined. Our parameters will be triples (x, y, λ) which specify representations, or pairs (x, y) which give translation families of representations.

3.1 First Approach: Use Integral Data for G

Assume that λ is real, i. e., $\lambda \in X^*(H) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, and regular. (The assumption that λ is real is not essential; we make it because this is the case we are most interested in, and so that we have a linear order on the elements. We could of course easily define such an order on \mathbb{C} .) If we require that λ is strictly dominant with respect to Ψ^+ (rather than just P), then representations will be in one-one correspondence with triples (x, y, λ) up to conjugation by $H \times {}^\vee H$.

Remark 2 *DAV: Although this does indeed give a one-one correspondence, it is a bad idea (mathematically) to require λ to be dominant with respect to the whole root system. Think about how else to account for equivalences by Weyl group elements taking P into Ψ^* ...*

The parameters x are as for integral data, and hence the Atlas software computes them (using ‘kgb’). Given a fixed x , what are the possible y and λ ?

Let (x, y_I) be an integral pair, i. e., a pair giving an integral L -datum (listed in Atlas using the ‘block’ command). Any element y normalizing ${}^\vee H$ and compatible with x (i. e., mapping to the same twisted involution as y_I) is of the form $y = ty_I$ for some $t \in {}^\vee H$. Then

$$y^2 = ty_I ty_I = t^\vee \theta(t) y_I^2. \quad (4)$$

Now $t^{\vee\theta}(t) = \exp(X)$ for some $X \in (1 + \vee\theta)^{\vee\mathfrak{h}} = \vee\mathfrak{h}^{\vee\theta}$. Consequently, the infinitesimal characters λ allowed (still assuming x fixed) are those of the form

$$\lambda = \lambda_0 + \lambda_I, \text{ where } \lambda_0 \in \vee\mathfrak{h}^{\vee\theta} \text{ and } \lambda_I \text{ integral.} \quad (5)$$

Proposition 3 *Fix $x \in \mathcal{X}$ (Fokko's one-sided parameter space [3]).*

1. *The possible infinitesimal characters of representations associated to x are those of the form $\lambda = \lambda_0 + \lambda_I$, where $\lambda_0 \in \vee\mathfrak{h}^{\vee\theta}$, λ_I is integral, i. e., $\exp(2\pi i \lambda_I) = y_I^2$ for some integral pair (x, y_I) , and λ is regular dominant.*
2. *Suppose $\lambda = \lambda_0 + \lambda_I$ is as above (the decomposition is not unique; choose one). Write $t_{\lambda_0} = \exp(\pi i \lambda_0)$. If $\vee G$ has trivial center then the representations with infinitesimal character λ associated to x are given by the pairs $(x, t_{\lambda_0} y)$ such that (x, y) is an integral pair (i. e., fixed x , vary y).*

Example 4 $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$. *If $x = \pm t$ then $\vee\theta(X) = -X$ for $X \in \vee\mathfrak{h}$, so $\vee\mathfrak{h}^{\vee\theta} = \{0\}$, and there are no non-integral infinitesimal characters (as expected since $H(\mathbb{R})$ is compact). If $x = w$ then $H(\mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathbb{R}^\times$, $\vee\theta = 1$, $\vee\mathfrak{h}^{\vee\theta} = \vee\mathfrak{h}$, and all (dominant) infinitesimal characters are allowed. Take $\lambda = \nu\rho$ for some $\nu > 0$, $\lambda = \text{diag}(\nu, -\nu, 0) \in \vee\mathfrak{h}$. Then $t_{\lambda_0} = \text{diag}(e^{\pi i \nu}, e^{-\pi i \nu}, 1)$, so we get*

$$y_1 = t_{\lambda_0} I = \text{diag}(e^{\pi i \nu}, e^{-\pi i \nu}, 1) \text{ for the spherical principal series,} \quad (6)$$

$$y_2 = t_{\lambda_0} s = \text{diag}(-e^{\pi i \nu}, -e^{-\pi i \nu}, 1) = \text{diag}(e^{\pi i(\nu+1)}, e^{-\pi i(\nu+1)}, 1) \text{ for the nonspherical series.} \quad (7)$$

Notice that these reduce to the elements of Example 1 if ν is an integer.

Remark 5 *If the center of $\vee G$ is not trivial, there are fewer representations than there are integral pairs. Working guess for the general case (this works for $SO(2, 1)$, $SO(3, 2)$ and a split torus, e. g.): Fix λ as in part 1 of Proposition 3 and a particular decomposition $\lambda = \lambda_0 + \lambda_I$, and write $z = \exp(2\pi i \lambda_I) \in Z(\vee G)$. Then the representations with infinitesimal character λ which are associated to x are given by the pairs $(x, t_{\lambda_0} y)$ such that (x, y) is an integral pair with $y^2 = z$.*

Example 6 $SO(2, 1)$. *This is the dual picture to $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$. The principal series are given by pairs (x, y) with $x = M$, and there are four choices for $y : \pm I, \pm t$. The first two satisfy $y^2 = I$, the second two $y^2 = -I$. For a given infinitesimal character $\nu\rho$, there are two non-isomorphic principal series of $SO(2, 1)$ parametrized by*

$$(x, y_1) = (M, \text{diag}(e^{\pi i \frac{\nu}{2}}, e^{-\pi i \frac{\nu}{2}})) \quad (8)$$

and

$$(x, y_2) = (M, \text{diag}(-e^{\pi i \frac{\nu}{2}}, -e^{-\pi i \frac{\nu}{2}})), \quad (9)$$

which we can get either by multiplying $\pm I$ by $t_{\lambda_0} = \text{diag}(e^{\pi i \frac{\nu}{2}}, e^{-\pi i \frac{\nu}{2}})$, or by multiplying $\pm t$ by $t_{\lambda_0} = \text{diag}(e^{\pi i \frac{\nu+1}{2}}, e^{-\pi i \frac{\nu+1}{2}})$.

3.2 Second Approach: Reduce to a Smaller Group E

Idea: An infinitesimal character λ determines (as above) the sets $P, {}^\vee P$. Then $(X, P, {}^\vee X, {}^\vee P)$ is a based root datum. The corresponding group E (an endoscopic group for G) is not necessarily a subgroup of G ; however, the dual group ${}^\vee E$ is the subgroup of ${}^\vee G$ corresponding to the subroot system ${}^\vee P$ of ${}^\vee \Psi^+$. The x 's for G may be identified with certain x_E for E , and integral pairs (x_E, y) for E should then parametrize representations for G with infinitesimal character λ . Details need to be worked out; in particular this identification $x \mapsto x_E$, eliminating duplication, dealing with centers, and keeping track of the correct inner class and real forms in E . Stay tuned...

References

- [1] Jeffrey Adams, *Parameters for Representations of Real Groups*, Notes for a series of talks given during the second Atlas workshop at AIM in Palo Alto, CA, July 2004, updated for workshop July 2005 (available at <http://atlas.math.umd.edu>).
- [2] Jeffrey Adams and David Vogan, *Lifting of Characters and Harish-Chandra's Method of Descent*, preprint.
- [3] Fokko du Cloux, *Combinatorics for the representation theory of real reductive groups*, Notes for a series of talks during the third meeting of the *Atlas of Lie Groups* workshop at AIM, July 2005 (available at <http://atlas.math.umd.edu>).